

# The use of GPS data at T-T Zone for the verification of the recent terrestrial reference frames considering possible geodynamic processes

---

Walyeldeem Godah

University of Khartoum, AL-Jama'a St., 321-11115 Khartoum, Sudan  
Institute of Geodesy and Cartography, 27 Modzelewskiego St., 02-679 Warsaw, Poland  
Tel.: +48 22 3291903, Fax: +48 22 3291950, E-mail: w-hassan@igik.edu.pl

Jan Krynski

Institute of Geodesy and Cartography, 27 Modzelewskiego St., 02-679 Warsaw, Poland  
Tel.: +48 22 3291904, Fax: +48 22 3291950, E-mail: krynski@igik.edu.pl

Jan Cisak

Institute of Geodesy and Cartography, 27 Modzelewskiego St., 02-679 Warsaw, Poland  
Tel.: +48 22 3291908, Fax: +48 22 3291950, E-mail: jan.cisak@igik.edu.pl

**Abstract.** The interpretation of the geodynamic phenomena with the use of GPS observations strongly depends on the quality of the terrestrial reference frame. The aim of this contribution is to verify station velocities from recent ITRF/ETRF solutions as well as to evaluate the possible geodynamic processes by using long time series of GPS data from four permanent GNSS stations operating in T-T zone. The components of four baselines were calculated with the use of the Bernese v.5.0 GPS software using GPS observation data from 2004–2012. Time variations of baseline components and baseline length were analysed and their linear trends were estimated by applying linear regression analysis. The obtained results have indicated that the variations are within the range from  $-0.2$  to  $0.3$  mm/year in  $X$ -component, from  $-0.6$  to  $-0.3$  mm/year in  $Y$ -component, from  $-0.2$  to  $0.2$  mm/year in  $Z$ -component and from  $-0.2$  to  $0.6$  mm/year for the baseline length. The estimated linear trends of baselines components variations fit substantially better to the respective ones derived from ITRF2008/ETRF2000 (R8) than to the ones from ITRF2005/ETRF2000 (R5).

**Keywords:** Teisseyre-Tornquist zone, geodynamics, reference frame, GPS, time variations, linear trend

---

*Received: 9 April 2013 / Accepted: 17 May 2013*

## 1. Introduction

Accurate and reliable terrestrial reference frame is extremely important in studying geodynamic processes using space-geodetic techniques. Since 1988, the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF) has been released and updated regularly, with most recent two releases being ITRF2005 (Altamimi et al., 2007) and ITRF2008 (Altamimi et al., 2011). Beside the ITRF, the IAG Sub-commission for the European Reference Frame (EUREF) has released the European Terrestrial Reference Frame (ETRF). The ETRF89 was defined as corresponding to the ITRF89 at epoch 1989.0, ETRF2000 (R5) to

ITRF2005 and ETRF2000 (R08) to ITRF2008 (Boucher and Altamimi, 2011).

Through the past years, many studies were performed to assess the accuracy of the terrestrial reference frames (TRF), e.g. (Altamimi et al., 2011; Collilieux et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2011). As a common conclusion they have indicated that the accuracy of the origin and scale of the TRF are one of the main factors limiting the determination of accurate velocities of the GNSS sites. Consequently, the evaluated accuracy of these velocities differs from site to site.

In the area of Poland, in particular, in the Teisseyre-Tornquist zone (T-T zone) area (Fig. 1), several

studies were devoted to examine the crustal deformation and the existence of geodynamic processes by using various geodetic tools and methods. For example, daily reprocessed solutions from a few EPN (EUREF Permanent Network) stations located at both sides of the T-T zone have been analysed using wavelet analysis in terms of biases of frequency and phase (Kaminski et al., 2010). The results indicated the existence of frequency biases in baseline's time series, which might result from vertical changes. Moreover, the study has shown there is anti-phase oscillation for GNSS stations of the eastern side of the T-T zone and GNSS stations of the western side of the T-T zone. Another approach to detect geodynamic processes in the T-T zone was the research based on the analysis of a series of 10-day solutions from the same part of the year from the period of 1997–2010, using GNSS data from two GNSS stations located at T-T zone (Cisak et al., 2011). Both commercial and scientific software were applied. The results obtained indicated that commercial software are insufficient to study the geodynamic process at the investigated part of T-T zone. The study also showed that processing of a few days GNSS data, e.g. from 10 days, annually, using scientific software does not provide sufficient basis to justify the geodynamic processes at such area as T-T zone, that seems quite stable. The other study concerned the review the impact and importance of such sources like earth tides, ocean tidal loading, atmospheric radiation tides loading, polar motion and its oceanic indirect effect, and the non-tidal ocean loading, in high-precision positioning with space geodetic techniques focusing on Jozefoslaw station located in the T-T zone (Rajner, 2012). It has indicted which sources are important to be considered to fulfil precision criteria of positioning. Vertical movements of the Earth's crust over Poland including the area of T-T zone were investigated with the use of GNSS data from the ASG-EUPOS (Active Geodetic Network EUPOS) and European Permanent Network (EPN) as well as geometrical levelling data (Kontny and Bogusz, 2012). This study has indicated a reference defect due to the sea level variability, when comparing the vertical motions from these three data sources against each other. All those studies have addressed the T-T zone as a potential subject for geodynamic process, and their results obtained can be regarded as a contribution to the further studies.

The purpose of this study is to verify velocities from recent ITRF/ETRF solutions for the stations in the T-T zone as well as to evaluate the possible geodynamic processes by using long time series of GPS data from four permanent GNSS stations of IGS/EPN network operating in T-T zone.

## 2. Study area and GNSS stations used

The Teisseyre-Tornquist zone (T-T zone) boundary region is situated in the collision zone between the Precambrian Platform of Eastern Europe and the Paleozoic Platform of Central and Western Europe. It has been regarded as one of the seismo-tectonically active areas of Europe (Grad et al., 2000). This zone is crossing the area of Poland from North-West to South-East (Fig. 1). Two permanent GNSS stations, named JOZE and JOZ2 are located




Fig. 1. The Teisseyre-Tornquist zone (T-T zone) boundary region and study area (dashed rectangle)

at Jozefoslaw Astrogeodetic Observatory in the east boundary of the T-T zone, and two sites BOGO and BOGI are located at Borowia Gora Observatory outside the T-T zone. These stations operate within the European Permanent GNSS Network (EPN) of EUREF since mid 1990. Furthermore, stations BOGI, JOZ2 and JOZE also operate within the International GNSS Service (IGS). There are few impor-

tant reasons why those stations have been chosen for the following research: (1) their location can offer an appropriate baselines configuration to examine the geodynamic processes at T-T zone, (2) the velocities from the recent ITRF and ETRF have exhibited inconsistent slow motion at baselines of ~42 km lengths, (3) the availability of long-term continuous GNSS data. Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics of these stations.

### 3. GPS data processing

The GPS observation data from 2004–2012 with sampling rates of 30 seconds from the GNSS stations specified in Table 1 have been processed using precise ephemeris and Earth rotation parameters provided by the international GNSS service (IGS). Furthermore, Earth tides and Ocean tide loading model coefficients based on IERS and FES2004 models (Lyard et al., 2006), respectively, were implemented to eliminate the tide-loading effects. Antenna Phase Centre Variation (PCV) were modelled with the use of absolute PCV model. According to a double-differencing processing standards of the Bernese GPS software v.5.0 (Dach et al., 2007), and the EPN Local Analysis Centres (EPN LAC) recommendations (see <http://www.epncb.oma.be>), an optimal processing strategy was developed to process the data in daily session solution. The basic steps of this strategy can be described in brief as follows:

- The observed GPS data, precise ephemeris and Earth rotation parameters were converted to the Bernese format.
- The baselines JOZE-BOGO, JOZE-BOGI, JOZ2-BOGO and JOZ2-BOGI were created from single differencing files.
- Cycle slips were detected and corrected; and then outliers were detected and removed from the observations.
- Zenith path delays were estimated at 2h intervals for baseline stations. A priori values for the zenith path delays were obtained using the DRY NEILL model, and wet part of the troposphere was estimated with use of the WET NEILL mapping function (Neill, 1996).
- The phase ambiguities have been resolved using the SIGMA-dependent strategy. During this step, both frequencies L1 and L2 were used, the minimum elevation angle was set to 3°, and the elevation-dependent weighting was applied by using the  $\cos^2(z)$  function.
- Finally, each baseline was adjusted by considering one of the baseline stations as fix station.

According to above steps, Processing Control File (PCF) was created. This PCF defines which scripts are to be in run, and in what sequence the processing will be conducted by means of the Bernese Processing Engine (BPE) tool (cf. Dach et al., 2007). With the use of BPE, the GPS data from each individual baseline were processed in daily batches.

Table 1. Characteristics of the chosen GNSS stations

Station	Domes No	Receiver	Antenna and radom Code
BOGO	12207M003	Ashtech Z-XII3 TPS EUROCARD	ASH701945C_M Snow
BOGI	12207M002	Ashtech Z18 JPS E_GGD JPS Legacy JAVAD TRE_G3T DELTA JPS EUROCARD	ASH701941.B Snow
JOZE	12204M001	Trimble 4000SSI Trimble 4000SSE	TRM14532.00 None
JOZ2	12204M002	Ashtech Z18 Leica GRX 1200 GG Pro	ASH701941.B Snow LEIAT504GG None

## 4. Results and analysis

The daily baseline components ( $\Delta X$ ,  $\Delta Y$ ,  $\Delta Z$ ) were obtained for BOGI–JOZ2, BOGI–JOZE, BOGO–JOZ2 and BOGO–JOZE baselines. In order to reduce the white noise within the daily solutions, 7–days average solutions were obtained by applying moving average window with 7–day intervals. Figures 2–4 show the changes of baselines components with respect to their mean values of daily and 7–day average solutions. Moreover, the lengths of those baselines were calculated from daily solutions as well as from 7–days average solutions. Depicted in Figure 5 daily and 7–day average solutions centered with respect to their mean values show the variations of baseline lengths (reformulation). The histograms of differences between the daily solutions and 7–day average solutions are presented in Figure 6. About 95% of daily solutions agree with 7–day average solutions at the level of  $\pm 4$  mm for the  $X$  and  $Y$  components,  $\pm 6$  mm for the  $Z$  components, and  $\pm 4$  mm for the baseline lengths.

The linear trends ( $V_x$ ,  $V_y$ ,  $V_z$  and  $V_D$ ) of baselines components and baselines length variations were estimated from 7–days average solutions using linear regression analysis (Table 2). They are within the range from  $-0.2 \pm 0.27$  to  $0.3 \pm 0.36$  mm/year in  $X$ –component, from  $-0.3 \pm 0.20$  to  $-0.6 \pm 0.27$

mm/year in  $Y$ –component, from  $-0.2 \pm 0.34$  to  $0.2 \pm 0.60$  mm/year in  $Z$ –component and from  $-0.2 \pm 0.16$  to  $0.6 \pm 0.30$  mm/year in the baseline length. It should be noted that during the GPS week 1470 the antenna of station JOZ2 was replaced, and therefore linear trends of baselines BOGO–JOZ2 and BOGI–JOZ2 variations were estimated in two steps before and after the replacement.

In order to verify the velocities of these sites obtained from the recent ITRF/ETRF solutions (see [http://itrf.ign.fr/ITRF\\_solutions/](http://itrf.ign.fr/ITRF_solutions/) and <http://etrs89.ensg.ign.fr/pub>), the estimated linear trends of baselines components and lengths variations were compared with the respective ones obtained from recent ITRF/ETRF. The differences  $\Delta$  between the velocities from recent ITRF/ETRF and the estimated linear trends of BOGI–JOZ2, BOGI–JOZE, BOGO–JOZ2 and BOGO–JOZE baseline components variations are presented in Figure 7.

The differences  $\Delta$  shown in Figure 7 exhibit compatibility of all baselines investigated. They have revealed an agreement of about  $-0.1$  to  $0.5$  mm/year at  $Y$ –component for all reference frames. The differences between linear trends of baseline components variations obtained from ITRF2005 and ETRF2000 (R5) and the corresponding ones obtained from 7–day average solutions can reach as much as 2.6 mm/year in  $X$ –component, 0.3 mm/year in  $Y$ –component,




Fig. 2. Variations in  $X$ –component; daily solutions (grey dots) and 7–day average solutions (red line); linear trends (black line); blue vertical lines represent the period of antenna replacement at JOZ2

3.8 mm/year in Z-component and 4.6 mm/year in the length of these baselines. This might be due to the relative antenna phase variation (PCV) models that was applied only when computing ITRF2005 and ETRF2000 (R5) (Bruyninx et al., 2011).

The ITRF2008 and ETRF2000 (R8) solutions coincide at sub millimetre level with the estimated linear trends of baseline components variations. Over all, the ETRF2000 (R8) has provided good agreement with respect to 7-day average solutions.




Fig. 3. Variations in Y-component; daily solutions (grey dots) and 7-day average solutions (red line); linear trends (black line); blue vertical lines represent the period of antenna replacement at JOZ2




Fig. 4. Variations in Z-component; daily solutions (grey dots) and 7-day average solutions (red line); linear trends (black line); blue vertical lines represent the period of antenna replacement at JOZ2




Fig. 5. Variations in baseline lengths; daily solutions (grey dots) and 7-day average solutions (red line); linear trends (black line); blue vertical lines represent the period of antenna replacement at JOZ2

## 5. Summary and conclusions

Continuous GPS observations (from 2004.0 to 2012.9) of four Polish permanent GPS stations (BOGO, JOZE, JOZ2 and BOGI) located at the T-T zone area were processed to assess their relative tectonic motion as well as to examine the consistency of the recent ITRF/ETRF at T-T zone. With the use of Bernese GPS software v.5.0, daily solutions for components of four individual baselines BOGO–JOZ2, BOGI–JOZE, BOGO–JOZ2 and BOGO–JOZE have been obtained, then 7-day average solutions were estimated. From the varia-

tions of these 7-day average solutions, linear trends for each baseline were determined.

All investigated baselines have provided quite similar linear trends within the corresponding baseline components and baseline length. Generally, linear trends of the variations of these four baselines components have revealed that the relative motions of these GNSS stations are at sub-millimetre level. The estimated uncertainties of linear trends determined were also at sub-millimetre level. Therefore, in order to attribute such trend as the effect of the geodynamic process at the investigated part of T-T zone, and taking into consideration

Table. 2. The estimated linear trends of baselines components and baseline length variations from 7-day average solutions [mm/year]

Baseline	$V_x$	$V_y$	$V_z$	$V_D$
BOGI–JOZ2	$0.3 \pm 0.36$	$-0.3 \pm 0.25$	$0.2 \pm 0.60$	$0.6 \pm 0.30$
BOGI–JOZE	$0.0 \pm 0.26$	$-0.3 \pm 0.20$	$-0.2 \pm 0.34$	$0.0 \pm 0.16$
BOGO–JOZ2	$-0.2 \pm 0.27$	$-0.6 \pm 0.27$	$0.1 \pm 0.55$	$0.5 \pm 0.32$
BOGO–JOZE	$0.3 \pm 0.29$	$-0.3 \pm 0.25$	$0.0 \pm 0.38$	$-0.2 \pm 0.16$




Fig. 6. Histograms showing the difference between the daily solutions and the 7-day averages solutions




Fig. 7. The differences  $\Delta$  between linear trends of baselines components variations from recent ITRF/ETRF and the estimated ones from 7-day average solutions

present accuracy of GPS data, long period of GPS monitoring data will be needed.

The linear trends of baselines components variations estimated from 7-day average solutions demonstrate much better agreement with the respective ones obtained from ITRF2008/ETRF2000 (R8) velocities than with those from ITRF2005/ETRF2000 (R5). This confirms the superiority of ITRF2008/ETRF2000 (R8) over ITRF2005/ETRF2000 (R5) addressed in the literature. It indicates that velocities of stations in the investigated area, provided by ITRF2008/ETRF2000 (R8) are more reliable than those from ITRF2005/ETRF2000 (R5). It also suggests ETRF2000 (R8) as the recommended reference frame at that part of T-T zone.

## Acknowledgments

The research was done in the framework of the statutory project “Problems of geodesy and geodynamics” of the Institute of Geodesy and Cartography, Warsaw, Poland.

## References

- Altamimi Z., (2009): *ETRS89 realization: Current status, ETRF2005 and Future Development*, Bulletin of Geodesy and Geomatics, Vol. LXVIII, No 3, pp. 255–268.
- Altamimi Z., Collilieux X., Legrand J., Garayt B., Boucher C., (2007): *ITRF2005: A new release of the International Terrestrial Reference Frame based on time series of station positions and Earth Orientation Parameters*, Journal of Geophysical Research, Vol. 112, No B09401, doi:10.1029/2007JB004949, 2007.
- Altamimi Z., Collilieux X., Metivier L., (2011): *ITRF2008: an improved solution of the international terrestrial reference frame*, Journal of Geodesy, Vol. 85, No 8, pp. 457–473, DOI 10.1007/s00190-011-0444-4.
- Boucher C., Altamimi Z., (2011): *Memo : Specifications for reference frame fixing in the analysis of a EUREF GPS campaign Version 8*, 28 May 2011, available at: <http://etrs89.ensg.ign.fr/memo-V8.pdf>.
- Boucher C., Altamimi Z., Feissel M., Sillard P., (1996): *Results and Analysis of the ITRF94*, IERS Technical Note 20, Observatoire de Paris.
- Bruyninx C., Altamimi Z., Caporali A., Kenyeres A., Lidberg M., Stangl G., and Torres J.A., (2012): *Guidelines for EUREF Densifications*, Version 4: 13 June 2012, available at: [ftp://epncb.oma.be/pub/general/Guidelines\\_for\\_EUREF\\_Densifications.pdf](ftp://epncb.oma.be/pub/general/Guidelines_for_EUREF_Densifications.pdf)
- Cisak J., Godah W., Zak L., (2011): *Could recent GNSS data provide an evidence of tectonic processes within the TT zone?*, Symposium of the IAG Subcommittee for Europe (EUREF) held in Chisinau, Moldova, 25–28 May 2011.
- Collilieux X., Métivier L., Altamimi Z., van Dam T., Ray J., (2011): *Quality assessment of GPS reprocessed Terrestrial Reference Frame*, GPS Solutions, Vol. 15, No 3, pp. 219–231, doi:10.1007/s10291-010-0184-6.
- Dach R., Hugentobler U., Fridez P., Meindl M., (Eds.) (2007): *Bernese GPS Software Version 5.0*, Astronomical Institute, University of Berne, Switzerland.
- Grad M., Janik T., Yliniemi J., Guterch A., Luosto U., Tiira T., Komminaho K., Sroda P., Höing K., Makris J., Lund C.-E., (2000): *Crustal structure of the Mid-Polish Trough beneath the Teisseyre-Tornquist Zone seismic profile*, J. of Tectonophysics 314, pp. 145–160.
- Kaminski P., Figurski M., Kroszczyński K., Szafranek K., (2010): *Frequency and phase analysis of daily reprocessed solutions from selected EPN stations relating to geological phenomena*, Acta Geodynamica et Geomaterialia, Vol. 7, No 3(159), pp. 281–293.
- Kontny B., Bogusz J., (2012): *Models of vertical movements of the Earth crust surface in the area of Poland derived from leveling and GNSS data*, Acta Geodyn. et Geomaterialia, Vol. 9, No 3(167), pp. 331–337.
- Lyard, F., Lefevre F., Letellier T., Francis O., (2006): *Modelling the global ocean tides: a modern insight from FES2004*, Ocean Dynamics, 56, pp. 394–415.
- Rajner M., (2012): *Earth crust deformation in Poland: modelling and its implication for positioning with satellite based geodetic techniques*, Reports on Geodesy, Vol. 92, No 1, pp. 37–46.
- Wu X., Collilieux X., Altamimi Z., Vermeersen B.L.A., Gross R.S., Fukumori I., (2011): *Accuracy of the International Terrestrial Reference Frame origin and Earth expansion*, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L13304, doi: 10.1029/2011GL047450.



## Wykorzystanie obserwacji GPS w strefie T-T do weryfikacji współczesnych układów odniesienia z uwzględnieniem możliwych procesów geodynamicznych

Walyeldeeen Godah

Uniwersytet w Chartumie, AL-Jama'a St., 321-11115 Khartoum, Sudan  
Instytut Geodezji i Kartografii, ul. Modzelewskiego 27, PL 02-679 Warszawa  
Tel.: +48 22 3291903, Fax: +48 22 3291950, E-mail: w-hassan@igik.edu.pl

Jan Krynski

Instytut Geodezji i Kartografii, ul. Modzelewskiego 27, PL 02-679 Warszawa  
Tel.: +48 22 3291904, Fax: +48 22 3291950, E-mail: krynski@igik.edu.pl

Jan Cisak

Instytut Geodezji i Kartografii, ul. Modzelewskiego 27, PL 02-679 Warszawa  
Tel.: +48 22 3291908, Fax: +48 22 3291950, E-mail: jan.cisak@igik.edu.pl

**Streszczenie.** Możliwość interpretowania zjawisk geodynamicznych na podstawie analizy obserwacji GPS w dużej mierze zależy od jakości ziemskiego układu odniesienia. Celem niniejszej pracy jest zweryfikowanie prędkości stacji uzyskanych ze współczesnych rozwiązań ITRF/ETRF, a także ocena ewentualnych procesów geodynamicznych przy użyciu długiego szeregu czasowego obserwacji na stacjach permanentnych GNSS położonych w rejonie strefy Teisseyre'a-Tornquista. Składowe czterech wektorów obliczono przy użyciu programu Bernese v.5.0 z obserwacji GPS z lat 2004–2012. Poddano analizie zmiany czasowe składowych tych wektorów oraz ich długości; przy wykorzystaniu analizy regresji wyznaczono trendy liniowe tych zmian. Rezultaty analiz wskazują na zmiany w przedziałach  $-0.2$  do  $0.3$  mm/rok w składowej X,  $-0.6$  do  $-0.3$  mm/rok w składowej Y,  $-0.2$  do  $0.2$  mm/rok w składowej Z oraz  $-0.2$  do  $0.6$  mm/rok w długości wektora. Wyznaczone trendy liniowe zmian składowych wektorów wykazują znacząco lepszą zgodność z odpowiednimi zmianami otrzymanymi z rozwiązań w układach ITRF2008/ETRF2000 (R8) niż ze zmianami z rozwiązań w układach ITRF2005/ETRF2000 (R5).

**Słowa kluczowe:** strefa Teisseyre'a-Tornquista, geodynamika, układ odniesienia, GPS, zmiany czasowe, trend liniowy

